

# **EXHIBIT B**

**COUNSEL FOR THE PARTIES ARE  
LISTED IN THE SIGNATURE BLOCK**

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11
	:
MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, <i>et al.</i> ,	: Case No.: 09-50026 (REG)
f/k/a General Motors Corp., <i>et al.</i>	:
	:
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
-----X	

**AGREED AND DISPUTED STIPULATIONS OF FACT PURSUANT TO THE  
COURT'S SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULING ORDER, DATED JULY 11, 2014**

Counsel for the Identified Parties<sup>1</sup> hereby provide, pursuant to the Supplemental Scheduling Order, their agreed-upon<sup>2</sup> and disputed Stipulations of Fact relating to the Four Threshold Issues,<sup>3</sup> as defined in the Supplemental Scheduling Order.

Upon consent of all of the Counsel for the Identified Parties, or upon approval by the Court after good cause shown, any party (a) may seek to amend or modify these agreed-upon factual stipulations, or (b) may use documents, testimony or other evidence that is not specifically referenced in these stipulations including documents produced after the date of these stipulations. It should also be noted that while Counsel for the Identified Parties agreed to the accuracy of the factual stipulations set forth below, in certain instances, they could not agree that such factual stipulations are relevant and/or are admissible evidence for the Court's

<sup>1</sup> As defined in the *Supplemental Scheduling Order Regarding (I) Motion Of General Motors LLC Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 And 363 To Enforce The Court's July 5, 2009 Sale Order And Injunction, (II) Objection Filed By Certain Plaintiffs In Respect Thereto, And (III) Adversary Proceeding No. 14-01929*, which was entered by the Court on July 11, 2014 ("**Supplemental Scheduling Order**").

<sup>2</sup> Each of the Counsel for the Identified Parties reserves the right to rely on any of the stipulations of fact agreed upon by Counsel for the Identified Parties in support of any of the Four Threshold Issues.

<sup>3</sup> For the avoidance of doubt, the issue of whether a claim asserted in the Ignition Switch Actions is timely and/or meritorious against the Old GM bankruptcy estate (and/or the GUC Trust) is not a Threshold Issue.

determination of the Four Threshold Issues. The parties have reserved their relevance and/or other evidentiary objections (including hearsay, privilege or other types of admissibility objections) to such factual stipulations. After a party understands how such factual stipulations will be asserted by another party in its pleadings and/or briefs relating to the Four Threshold Issues, it may ask the Court for an evidentiary ruling as to its admissibility prior to the oral argument on the Four Threshold Issues. Any party's failure to object to the use of such factual stipulations with respect to the Court's determination of the Four Threshold Issues shall not be deemed a waiver on relevance and/or other evidentiary objections (including hearsay, privilege or other types of admissibility objections) with respect to the use of such factual stipulations (including without limitation the documents or testimony which support such factual stipulations) for any other purpose in any proceeding before the Bankruptcy Court, the Court in MDL 2543, any other court or tribunal, or otherwise.

Counsel for the Identified Parties agree that each may refer to the following categories of documents and/or pleadings in connection with the Court's determination of the Four Threshold Issues. All such documents speak for themselves.

- a. All pleadings, briefs, declarations, affidavits, orders, decisions, evidence admitted by the Bankruptcy Court, reports filed by the GUC Trust, and deposition and hearing transcripts in adversary proceedings or contested matters arising under, in, or related to the Old GM bankruptcy case, including without limitation, appeals of any decisions emanating from the Bankruptcy Court.
- b. All filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission by Old GM, New GM and the GUC Trust.
- c. All press releases issued by Old GM, New GM and the GUC Trust.
- d. The GUC Trust Agreement, and any amendments thereto.
- e. The complaints (and any amendments thereof) filed in the Ignition Switch Actions, and any pleadings filed with the United States District Court for the



Southern District of New York, 14-MD-2543 (JMF); 14-MC-2543 (“MDL Court”).

- f. Information contained on Bloomberg Financial with respect to the share price and trading volume of the GUC Trust Units, New GM stock and warrants.

Counsel for the Identified Parties also agree on the following definitions<sup>4</sup> for each of their

Stipulations of Fact:

- a. “Ignition Switch” shall mean an ignition switch designed and/or sold by Old GM in the Subject Vehicles that may unintentionally move out of the “run” position, resulting in a partial loss of electrical power and turning off the engine. (Consent Order, In re TQ14-001, NHTSA Recall No. 14V-047 (Dep’t of Transp., Nat’l Highway Safety Admin. Dated May 16, 2014 (“Consent Order”) at 2, ¶¶5; Part 573 Defect Notice filed by New GM with the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (“NHTSA”), dated February 7, 2014.).
- b. “Subject Vehicles” are (1) 2005-2007 Chevrolet Cobalt and Pontiac G5, 2003-2007 Saturn Ion, 2006-2007 Chevrolet HHR, 2005-2006 Pontiac Pursuit (Canada), 2006-2007 Pontiac Solstice and 2007 Saturn Sky vehicles; and (2) 2008-2010 Pontiac Solstice and G5; 2008-2010 Saturn Sky; 2008-2010 Chevrolet Cobalt; and 2008-2011 Chevrolet HHR vehicles -- certain of the vehicles in this second category may have been repaired using a defective Ignition Switch that had been sold to dealers or aftermarket wholesalers. Statements about the Ignition Switch apply to the Subject Vehicles listed in the second category only to the extent that the Subject Vehicles were actually repaired using a defective Ignition Switch. (Part 573 Defect Notices filed by New GM with the NHTSA, dated February 7, 2014, February 24, 2014, and March 28, 2014, hereinafter “Feb. 7 Notice”, “Feb. 24 Notice”, and “March 28 Notice”).

Attached to this document are (a) New GM’s agreed-upon factual stipulations, and disputed factual stipulations (Exhibit “A”), (b) Designated Counsel/Groman Plaintiffs agreed-upon factual stipulations and Designated Counsel’s disputed factual stipulations (Exhibit “B”), (C) Groman Plaintiffs’ disputed factual stipulations (Exhibit “C”), and (D) the GUC Trust/Unitholders agreed-upon factual stipulations and disputed factual stipulations (Exhibit “D”).

---

<sup>4</sup> The definitions in these stipulations of fact are agreed to for the sole purpose of the Four Threshold Issues identified by the Bankruptcy Court in its Supplemental Scheduling Order. Counsel for the Identified Parties do not stipulate to the definitions set forth in these stipulations for any other purpose in either the Bankruptcy Court, in MDL 2543, or otherwise.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 8, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Arthur Steinberg  
Arthur Steinberg  
Scott Davidson  
KING & SPALDING LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036  
Telephone: (212) 556-2100  
Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

-and-

Richard C. Godfrey, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Andrew B. Bloomer, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
300 North LaSalle  
Chicago, IL 60654  
Telephone: (312) 862-2000  
Facsimile: (312) 862-2200

*Attorneys for General Motors LLC*

/s/ Edward S. Weisfelner  
Edward S. Weisfelner  
David J. Molton  
Howard S. Steel  
BROWN RUDNICK LLP  
7 Times Square New York, New York 10036  
Telephone: 212-209-4800

-and-

/s/ Elihu Inselbuch

Elihu Inselbuch  
Peter Van N. Lockwood  
CAPLIN & DRYSDALE, CHARTERED  
600 Lexington Ave., 21st Fl.  
New York, New York 10021  
Telephone: 212-379-6000

-and-

/s/ Sander L. Esserman

Sander L. Esserman  
STUTZMAN, BROMBERG, ESSERMAN &  
PLIFKA, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
2323 Bryan Street, Suite 2200  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
Telephone: 214-969-4900

*Designated Counsel for Certain Plaintiffs*

/s/ Jonathan L. Flaxer

Jonathan L. Flaxer  
S. Preston Ricardo  
Michael S. Weinstein  
GOLENBOCK EISEMAN ASSOR  
BELL & PESKOE LLP  
437 Madison Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
Telephone: (212) 907-7300  
Facsimile: (212) 754-0330

-and-

Alexander H. Schmidt, Esq.  
Stacey Kelly Breen, Esq.  
Malcolm T. Brown, Esq.  
WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN  
& HERZ LLP  
270 Madison Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
Telephone: (212) 545-4600  
Facsimile: (212) 545-4653

*Counsel for the Groman Plaintiffs*

/s/ Lisa H. Rubin  
Matthew J. Williams  
Lisa H. Rubin  
Keith Martorana  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
200 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10166  
Telephone: (212) 351-4000  
Facsimile: (212) 351-4035

*Attorneys for Wilmington Trust Company*

/s/ Daniel Golden  
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUSER & FELD LLP  
Daniel Golden  
Deborah J. Newman  
Jamison Diehl  
Naomi Moss  
One Bryant Park, Bank of America Tower  
New York, NY 10036-6745  
Phone: (212) 872-8010  
Facsimile: (212) 872-1002

*Attorneys for the Ad Hoc Group of Unitholders*